## I see what you're saying, but... <br> Part I: Main Claim*

Author: I am arguing that $\qquad$ . . .
[main claim]
because $\qquad$ .
[reason]

Reader: I see what you're saying, but have you considered
$\qquad$ ?
[alternate claim/reason]

Author: That's a good point.
(1) I've considered that [alternate claim], but it is not valid because
$\qquad$ .
OR...
(2) I've considered that [alternate claim], but while it is valid, my claim is still valid because $\qquad$ .

Reader: EITHER: I'm convinced!
OR...
I see what you're saying, but your claim is still not valid because
[counterclaim]

## Author:

(1) [Acknowledge and respond].

OR...
(2) I see your point. I may need to modify my original stand.
*This exercise builds on the concepts of acknowledgement and response as presented by Joseph M. Williams and Gregory G. Colomb in The Craft of Argument (New York: Longman, 2003).

# I see what you're saying, but... <br> <br> Part II: Subclaims and Evidence 

 <br> <br> Part II: Subclaims and Evidence}

Author: My claim that $\qquad$ is valid because $\qquad$ [reason (subclaim)]

Reader: How do you know that?
Author: Well, here's my evidence: $\qquad$ .

Reader: I see what you're saying, but your evidence is [pick one*]:

- Not sufficient, because $\qquad$ .
- Not accurate, because $\qquad$ .
- Not precise, because $\qquad$ .
- Not current, because $\qquad$ .
- Not representative, because $\qquad$ .
- Not authoritative, because $\qquad$ .

Author: That's a good point. I acknowledge that point, and here's how I'd respond:
(1) My evidence is still valid because $\qquad$ .
OR....
(2) Although my evidence may not be $\qquad$ ,
[accurate, precise, etc.]
it is still valid because $\qquad$ .

## Reader: [etc.]

Author: [etc.]
*From Williams and Colomb, Craft of Argument, 151-152.

